

Altamaha River Basin Management Plan 2003



Georgia Department of Natural Resources
Environmental Protection Division

Georgia River Basin Management Planning Vision, Mission, and Goals

What is the VISION for the Georgia RBMP Approach?

Clean water to drink, clean water for aquatic life, and clean water for recreation, in adequate amounts to support all these uses in all river basins in the State of Georgia.

What is the RBMP MISSION?

To develop and implement a river basin planning program to protect, enhance, and restore the waters of the State of Georgia, that will provide for effective monitoring, allocation, use, regulation, and management of water resources.

[Established January 1994 by a joint basin advisory committee workgroup.]

What are the GOALS to Guide RBMP?

- 1) To meet or exceed local, state, and federal laws, rules, and regulations, and be consistent with other applicable plans.
- 2) To identify existing and future water quality issues, emphasizing nonpoint sources of pollution.
- 3) To propose water quality improvement practices encouraging local involvement to reduce pollution, and monitor and protect water quality.
- 4) To involve all interested citizens and appropriate organizations in plan development and implementation.
- 5) To coordinate with other river plans and regional planning.
- 6) To facilitate local, state, and federal activities to monitor and protect water quality.
- 7) To identify existing and potential water availability problems and to coordinate development of alternatives.
- 8) To provide for education of the general public on matters involving the environment and ecological concerns specific to each river basin.
- 9) To provide for improving aquatic habitat and exploring the feasibility of re-establishing native species of fish.
- 10) To provide for restoring and protecting wildlife habitat.
- 11) To provide for recreational benefits.
- 12) To identify and protect flood prone areas within each river basin, and encourage local and state compliance with federal flood plain management guidelines.

[Established January 1994 by a joint basin advisory committee workgroup.]

Altamaha River Basin Management Plan 2003

Preface

This report was prepared by the Environmental Protection Division (EPD), Georgia Department Natural Resources (EPD), as required by O.C.G.A. 12-5-520 and as a public information document. It represents a synoptic extraction of the EPD files and, in certain cases, information has been presented in summary form from those files. The reader is therefore advised to use this condensed information with the knowledge that it is a summary document and more detailed information is available in the EPD files.

Comments or questions related to the content of this report are invited and should be addressed to:

Environmental Protection Division
Georgia Department of Natural Resources
Floyd Towers East
205 Butler Street, S.E.
Atlanta, Georgia 30334

Contents

List of Acronyms and Abbreviations AA-1

Executive Summary ES-1

Section I

Introduction I-1

What Is the Purpose of This Plan? 1-1

River Basin Management Planning 1-1

Initial Efforts for the Altamaha River Basin 1-2

What’s Inside? 1-2

Executive Summary 1-2

 1.0 Introduction 1-2

 2.0 River Basin Characteristics 1-2

 3.0 Water Quantity 1-2

 4.0 Environmental Stressors 1-2

 5.0 Assessment 1-2

 6.0 Concerns and Priority Issues 1-2

 7.0 Implementation Strategies 1-4

 8.0 Future Issues and Challenges 1-4

Appendices 1-4

How Do I Use This Plan? 1-4

Links to Other Chapters 1-4

What Is the Schedule of Activities for the Altamaha River Basin? 1-4

How Do Stakeholders Get Involved in the Basin Planning Process? 1-6

Support the Basin Team 1-6

Support the Local Advisory Committee 1-7

Participate in Stakeholder Forums 1-7

Attend a Stakeholder Meeting 1-7

What’s Next? 1-8

Section 2

River Basin Characteristics 2-1

- 2.1 River Basin Description 2-1
 - 2.1.1 River Basin Boundaries 2-1
 - 2.1.2 Climate 2-3
 - 2.1.3 Physiography, Geology, and Soils 2-3
 - 2.1.4 Surface Water Resources 2-6
 - 2.1.5 Groundwater Resources 2-10
 - 2.1.6 Biological Resources 2-10
- 2.2 Population and Land Use 2-11
 - 2.2.1 Population 2-11
 - 2.2.2 Employment 2-13
 - 2.2.3 Land Cover and Use 2-13
- 2.3 Local Governments and Planning Authorities 2-20
 - 2.3.1 Counties and Municipalities 2-22
 - 2.3.2 Regional Development Centers 2-22
- 2.4 Water Use Classifications 2-23
 - 2.4.1 Georgia’s Water Use Classification System 2-23
 - 2.4.2 Water Use Classifications for the Altamaha River Basin 2-24
- References 2-25

Section 3

Water Quantity 3-1

- 3.1 Drinking Water Supply 3-1
 - 3.1.1 Drinking Water Supplies in the Altamaha River Basin 3-1
 - 3.1.2 Drinking Water Demands 3-2
 - 3.1.3 Drinking Water Permitting 3-2
- 3.2 Surface Water Quantity 3-2
 - 3.2.1 Surface Water Supply Sources 3-2
 - 3.2.2 Surface Water Supply Demands and Uses 3-3
 - 3.2.3 Surface Water Withdrawal Permitting 3-5
 - 3.2.4 Flooding and Floodplain Management 3-6
- 3.3 Groundwater Quantity 3-7
 - 3.3.1 Groundwater Sources 3-7
 - 3.3.2 Groundwater Supply Demands 3-7
 - 3.3.3 Groundwater Supply Permitting 3-7
- References 3-8

Section 4

Water Quality: Environmental Stressors 4-1

- 4.1 Sources and Types of Environmental Stressors 4-1
 - 4.1.1 Point Sources and Non-discharging Waste Disposal Facilities 4-1
 - 4.1.2 Nonpoint Sources 4-9
 - 4.1.3 Flow and Temperature Modification 4-18
 - 4.1.4 Physical Habitat Alteration 4-18

4.2 Summary of Stressors Affecting Water Quality 4-19

 4.2.1 Nutrients..... 4-19

 4.2.2 Oxygen Depletion 4-20

 4.2.3 Metals..... 4-20

 4.2.4 Fecal Coliform Bacteria 4-20

 4.2.5 Synthetic Organic Chemicals 4-22

 4.2.6 Stressors from Flow Modification..... 4-23

 4.2.7 Sediment..... 4-23

 4.2.8 Habitat Degradation and Loss 4-23

References..... 4-23

Section 5

Assessments of Water Quantity and Quality..... 5-1

5.1 Assessment of Water Quantity..... 5-1

 5.1.1 Municipal and Industrial Water Uses..... 5-1

 5.1.2 Agriculture 5-2

 5.1.3 Recreation 5-2

 5.1.4 Hydropower..... 5-2

 5.1.5 Navigation..... 5-2

 5.1.6 Waste Assimilation Capacity 5-2

 5.1.7 Assessment of Ground Water..... 5-2

5.2 Assessment of Water Quality..... 5-2

 5.2.1 Water Quality Standards 5-3

 5.2.2 Surface Water Quality Monitoring..... 5-4

 5.2.3 Data Analysis 5-9

 5.2.4 Assessment of Water Quality and Use Support 5-12

References..... 5-15

Section 6

Concerns and Priority Issues..... 6-1

6.1 Identified Basin Planning and Management Concerns 6-1

 6.1.1 Problem Statements..... 6-2

6.2 Priorities for Water Quality Concerns 6-3

 6.2.1 Short-Term Water Quality Action Priorities for EPD..... 6-3

 6.2.2 General Long-Term Priorities for Water Quality Concerns..... 6-4

6.3 Priorities for Water Quantity Concerns 6-5

 6.3.1 Priorities for Competing Demands..... 6-6

References..... 6-6

Section 7

Implementation Strategies..... 7-1

7.1 “Big Picture” Overview for the Altamaha River Basin 7-1

 7.1.1 Water Quality Overview 7-2

 7.1.2 Water Quantity Overview 7-5

7.2 General Basinwide Management Strategies 7-5

 7.2.1 General Surface Water Protection Strategies 7-5

7.2.2	Management of Permitted Point Sources	7-8
7.2.3	Nonpoint Source Management.....	7-13
7.2.4	Floodplain Management.....	7-18
7.2.5	Wetland Management Strategies.....	7-19
7.2.6	Stakeholder Involvement/Stewardship Strategies	7-20
7.2.7	Groundwater Protection Strategies.....	7-22
7.3	Targeted Management Strategies.....	7-24
7.3.1	Low Dissolved Oxygen.....	7-24
7.3.2	Fecal Coliform Bacteria	7-26
7.3.3	Fish Consumption Guidelines	7-33
7.3.4	Erosion and Sedimentation	7-36
7.3.5	Drought Conditions.....	7-48
	References.....	7-49
Section 8		
	<i>Future Issues and Challenges.....</i>	<i>8-1</i>
8.1	Where Do We Go From Here?	8-1
	The Dynamic Process of Basin Management	8-1
	Building on Past Improvements.....	8-1
	Participation by Many Different Stakeholders.....	8-1
	Blending Regulatory and Voluntary Approaches	8-2
8.2	Working to Strengthen Planning and Implementation Capabilities.....	8-2
	Understanding One Another’s Roles	8-2
	Using the RBMP Framework to Improve Communication	8-3
	Continuing to Streamline Our Efforts.....	8-3
8.3	Addressing the Impacts from Continued Population Growth and Land Development.....	8-3
	Supporting Consistent Implementation of Protection Measures.....	8-3
8.4	The Next Iteration of the Basin Cycle	8-4
	Building on Previous, Ongoing, Planned Efforts.....	8-4
8.5	Priorities for Additional Data Collection.....	8-4
	<i>Appendix A: River Basin Planning Act.....</i>	<i>A-1</i>
	<i>Appendix B: Georgia Instream Water Quality Standards for All Waters: Toxic Substances</i>	<i>B-1</i>
	<i>Appendix C: NPDES Permits for Discharges in the Altamaha River Basin</i>	<i>C-1</i>
	<i>Appendix D: Support of Designated Uses for Rivers, Streams, and Lakes in the Altamaha River Basin, 2000-2002</i>	<i>D-1</i>

List of Figures

Figure 1-1.	The Altamaha River Basin.....	1-3
Figure 1-2.	Altamaha River Basin Planning Schedule, 1st Cycle, 1998-2003	1-5
Figure 1-3.	Altamaha River Basin Planning Schedule, 2nd Cycle, 2003-2008.....	1-6
Figure 2-1.	Location of the Altamaha River Basin.....	2-2
Figure 2-2.	Hydrologic Units and Counties of the Altamaha River Basin	2-4
Figure 2-3.	Major Land Resource Areas in the Altamaha River Basin.....	2-7
Figure 2-4.	Hydrography, Altamaha River Basin, HUC 03070106	2-8
Figure 2-5.	Hydrography, Altamaha River Basin, HUC 03070107	2-9
Figure 2-6.	Population Density in the Altamaha River Basin	2-12
Figure 2-7.	Land Use, Altamaha River Basin, HUC 03070106, USGS 1972-76 Classification Updated with 1990 Urban Areas.....	2-14
Figure 2-8.	Land Use, Altamaha River Basin, HUC 03070107, USGS 1972-76 Classification Updated with 1990 Urban Areas.....	2-15
Figure 2-9.	Land Cover 1990, Altamaha River Basin HUC 03070106.....	2-16
Figure 2-10.	Land Cover 1990, Altamaha River Basin HUC 03070107.....	2-17
Figure 2-11.	Silvicultural Land in the Altamaha River Basin	2-19
Figure 2-12.	Agricultural Land in the Altamaha River Basin	2-21
Figure 4-1.	Location of Municipal Wastewater Treatment Plants in the Altamaha River Basin	4-3
Figure 4-2.	NPDES Sites Permitted by GAEPD, Altamaha River Basin, HUC 03070106 ..	4-5
Figure 4-3.	NPDES Sites Permitted by GAEPD, Altamaha River Basin, HUC 03070107 ..	4-6
Figure 4-4.	Land Application Systems, Altamaha River Basin, HUC 03070106	4-10
Figure 4-5.	Land Application Systems, Altamaha River Basin, HUC 03070107	4-11
Figure 4-6.	Landfills, Altamaha River Basin, HUC 03070106	4-12
Figure 4-7.	Landfills, Altamaha River Basin, HUC 03070107	4-13
Figure 4-8.	Total Phosphorus Concentrations, Altamaha River Six Miles Downstream from Doctortown, GA.....	4-21
Figure 4-9.	Dissolved Oxygen Concentrations, Altamaha River Six Miles Downstream from Doctortown, GA.....	4-21
Figure 4-10.	Fecal Coliform Bacteria Concentrations, Altamaha River Six Miles Downstream from Doctortown, GA	4-22
Figure 5-1.	Altamaha River Basin Trend Monitoring Network Station Locations	5-6
Figure 5-2.	Assessment of Water Quality Use Support in the Altamaha River Basin, HUC 03070106	5-13
Figure 5-3.	Assessment of Water Quality Use Support in the Altamaha River Basin, HUC 03070106	5-14

List of Tables

Table 1-1.	Altamaha River Basin Local Advisory Committee Members	1-8
Table 2-1.	Hydrologic Unit Codes (HUCs) of the Altamaha River Basin in Georgia	2-3
Table 2-2.	Land Cover Statistics for the Altamaha Basin	2-13
Table 2-3.	Forestry Acreage in the Altamaha River Basin	2-18
Table 2-4.	Agricultural Operations in the Altamaha River Basin (data supplied by NRCS)	2-20
Table 2-5.	Georgia Counties in the Altamaha River Basin	2-22
Table 2-6.	Georgia Municipalities in the Altamaha River Basin	2-23
Table 2-7.	Regional Development Centers in the Altamaha River Basin	2-23
Table 2-8.	Georgia Water Use Classifications and Instream Water Quality Standards for Each Use.....	2-24
Table 2-9.	Altamaha River Basin Waters Classified in Georgia Regulations ¹	2-24
Table 3-1.	Irrigated Acres in the Altamaha River Basin, 1974-1998.....	3-4
Table 3-2.	Historical Agricultural Water Use (MGD) in the Altamaha River Basin, 1980-2000	3-4
Table 3-3.	Projected Water Use in the Altamaha River Basin, 2005-2020.....	3-5
Table 4-1.	Major Municipal Wastewater Treatment Plant Discharges with Permitted Monthly Flow Greater than 1 MGD in the Altamaha River Basin	4-2
Table 4-2.	Summary of NPDES Permits in the Altamaha River Basin	4-4
Table 4-3.	Major Industrial and Federal Wastewater Treatment Facilities in the Altamaha River Basin	4-4
Table 4-4.	Wastewater Land Application Systems in the Altamaha River Basin.....	4-9
Table 4-5.	Estimated Loads from Agricultural Lands by County (SCS, 1993)	4-15
Table 4-6.	Silvicultural Activities in Georgia	4-17
Table 5-1.	Georgia Water Use Classifications and Instream Water Quality Standards for Each Use.....	5-3
Table 5-2.	Georgia Narrative Water Quality Standards for All Waters (Excerpt from Georgia Rules and Regulations for Water Quality Control Chapter 391-3-6-.03 - Water Use Classifications and Water Quality Standards)	5-4
Table 5-3.	Parameters for Fish Tissue Testing.....	5-8

Table 6-1. Summary of Concerns in the Altamaha River Basin 6-2

Table 6-2. Summary of Pollutants Causing Water Quality Impairment in the
Altamaha River Basin 6-2

Table 6-3. EPD’s Short-Term Priorities for Addressing Waters Not Fully Supporting
Designated Use 6-4

Table 7-1. Dissolved Oxygen TMDLs in the Altamaha River Basin..... 7-28

Table 7-2. Fecal Coliform Bacteria TMDLs in the Altamaha River Basin..... 7-30

Table 7-3. Mercury TMDLs in the Altamaha River Basin 7-34

List of Acronyms and Abbreviations

Ac	acre	DNR	Georgia Department of Natural Resources
Ac-ft	acre-feet	DO	dissolved oxygen
ACCG	Association of County Commissioners of Georgia	EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
ACF	Apalachicola-Chattahoochee-Flint Basin	EPD	Georgia Environmental Protection Division
ACT/ACF	Alabama-Coosa-Tallapoosa/Apalachicola-Chattahoochee Flint Basin	EQIP	Environmental Quality Incentives Program
ADEM	Alabama Department of Environmental Management	E&SC	Erosion and Sedimentation Control Act
ARC	Atlanta Regional Commission	FEMA	Federal Emergency Management Agency
ARS	USDA Agricultural Research Service	FFY	Federal fiscal year
ASR	aquifer storage and recovery	FIP	Forestry Incentives Program
BMPs	best management practices	FSA	Farm Service Agency
BOD	biochemical oxygen demand	ft	feet
CAES	University of Georgia College of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences	ft ² /d	square feet per day
		ft ³ /s	cubic feet per second
Cd	cadmium	gal/m	gallons per minute
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations	GDA	Georgia Department of Agriculture
COE	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers	GEMA	Georgia Emergency Management Agency
CPUE	catch per unit effort (fishing)	GFA	Georgia Forestry Association
CRMP	Chattahoochee River Modeling Project	GFC	Georgia Forestry Commission
CRP	Conservation Reserve Program	GMA	Georgia Municipal Association
CSGWPP	Comprehensive State Ground Water Protection Plan	GPC	Georgia Power Company
CSMTF	Community Stream Management Task Force	GPD	gallons per day
CSO	Combined Sewer Overflow	GPM	gallons per minute
Cu	copper	GSWCC	Georgia Soil and Water Conservation Commission
CWA	U.S. Clean Water Act	Hg	mercury
DCA	Georgia Department of Community Affairs	HUC	Hydrologic unit code (USGS)
		IBI	Index of Biotic Integrity
		kg	kilogram

km ²	square kilometer	RBMP	River Basin Management Planning
kW	kilowatt	RBP	Rapid Bioassessment Protocol
LAS	land application system for wastewater	RC&D	Resource Conservation and Development Council
LUST	leaking underground storage tank	RDC	Regional Development Center
MCL	Maximum Contaminant Level for drinking water	RM	river mile
meq/l	milliequivalent	SCS	Soil Conservation Service (now NRCS)
mg/l	milligrams per liter	SMZs	Streamside Management Zones
MG	million gallons	SOCs	Synthetic Organic Chemicals
MGD	million gallons per day	STATSGO	State Soil Geographic Database (USDA)
mi ²	square miles	SWCD	Soil and Water Conservation District
ml	milliliter	TMDL	Total Maximum Daily Load, as specified in the CWA
MLMP	Major Lakes Monitoring Project	TTSI	Georgia combined lake trophic state index
MLRA	major land resource area	UGA	University of Georgia
MOU	memorandum of understanding	USACE	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
MPN	most probable number (for quantification of fecal coliform bacteria)	USDA	U.S. Department of Agriculture
MSA	Atlanta Metropolitan Statistic Area	USEPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
MS4	municipal separate stormwater system	USF&WS	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
M&I	municipal and industrial	USGS	U.S. Geological Survey
NFIP	National Flood Insurance Program	WET	whole effluent toxicity
ng/L	nanograms per liter	WHIP	Wildlife Habitat Incentives Program
NOI	notice of intent	WPCP	water pollution control plant
NPDES	National Pollution Discharge Elimination System	WRD	Georgia Wildlife Resources Division
NPS	nonpoint source	WRP	Wetland Reserve Program
NRCS	Natural Resources Conservation Service of USDA	WWTP	wastewater treatment plant
NSSP	National Shellfish Sanitation Program	Zn	zinc
NURE	National Uranium Resource Evaluation	µg/l	micrograms per liter
NWI	National Wetlands Inventory (USF&WS)	7Q10	7-day average low flow with a once-in-ten-year recurrence interval
Pb	lead		
PCB	polychlorinated biphenyl		
PFA	public fishing area		
ppm	parts per million; equivalent to mg/l		

Executive Summary

This document presents Georgia's management plan for the Altamaha River basin, which is being produced as a part of Georgia's River Basin Management Planning (RBMP) approach. The Georgia Environmental Protection Division (EPD) has developed this plan in cooperation with several other agency partners including the USDA Natural Resources Conservation Commission, Georgia Soil and Water Conservation Commission, Georgia Forestry Commission, U.S. Geological Survey, Georgia Geological Survey, and Georgia Wildlife Resources Division. The RBMP approach provides the framework for identifying, assessing, and prioritizing water resources issues, developing management strategies, and providing opportunities for targeted, cooperative actions to reduce pollution, enhance aquatic habitat, and provide a dependable water supply.

Purpose of the Basin Plan

The purpose of this plan is to provide relevant information on the characteristics of the Altamaha River basin, describe the status of water quality and quantity in the Altamaha River basin, identify present and future water resource demands, present and facilitate the implementation of water quality protection efforts, and enhance stakeholder understanding and involvement in basin planning.


This Altamaha River Basin Management Plan includes strategies to address a number of different basinwide objectives. These include:

- Protecting water quality in lakes, rivers, streams, estuaries, and coastal waters through attainment of water quality standards and support for designated uses;
- Providing adequate, high quality water supply for municipal, agricultural, industrial, environmental, and other human activities;
- Preserving habitat suitable for the support of healthy aquatic and riparian ecosystems;
- Protecting human health and welfare through prevention of water-borne disease; minimization of risk from contaminated fish tissue, and reduction of risks from flooding; and
- Ensuring opportunities for economic growth, development, and recreation in the region.

Achieving these objectives is the responsibility of a variety of state and federal agencies, local governments, business, industry, and individual citizens. Coordination among these many partners can be challenging, and impacts of actions in one locale by one partner on conditions elsewhere in the basin are not always understood or considered. River Basin Management Planning is an attempt to bring together stakeholders in the basin to increase coordination and to provide a mechanism for communication and consideration of actions on a broad scale to support water resource objectives for the

entire basin. RBMP provides the framework to begin to understand the consequences of local decisions on basinwide water resources.

This river basin plan will serve as the road map for managing the water resources in the Altamaha River basin over the next five years. It contains useful information on the health of the Altamaha River basin and recommended strategies to protect the basin now and into the future.



Altamaha River Basin Characteristics

The Altamaha River basin is located in the southeast part of Georgia, occupying an area of approximately 2,850 square miles. The basin lies within the Coastal Plain physiographic province, which extends throughout the southeastern United States. The Altamaha River drains into the Atlantic Ocean.

Water Resources

The surface water resources of the basin are divided into two major watersheds or hydrologic units: the Altamaha River subbasin and the Ohoopie River subbasin.

Biological Resources

The basin encompasses parts of two major land resource areas (Southern Coastal Plains and Atlantic Coast Flatwoods) providing many different ecosystem types. These ecosystems provide habitat for diverse species of aquatic and terrestrial wildlife. Several of the species are currently threatened or endangered.

Population and Land Use Characteristics

The major population centers in the Altamaha River basin include the cities of Wrightsville, Lyons, Reidsville, Hazlehurst, Jesup and Darien. The population is expected to increase at an average growth rate through 2050.

More than 44 percent of the basin is covered by forests and forestry-related activities account for a major part of the basin's economy. Agriculture is also a significant land use activity supporting a variety of animal operations and commodity production.

Local Governments and Planning Authorities

The local governments in the basin consist of counties and incorporated municipalities. The Altamaha basin includes part or all of 14 Georgia counties. These counties are members of three different Regional Development Centers.

Water Quantity Conditions

Surface water supplies in the basin include water in rivers, ponds, and reservoirs. Groundwater is the primary water source in the Altamaha River basin. In the Coastal Plain Province, aquifer yields are higher and groundwater withdrawals make up the majority of the total water budget.

The primary demands for water supply in the basin include municipal and industrial use, agricultural use, and recreation. The demand for drinking water is expected to remain stable in the near future due to average population growth rates. Agricultural water demand in the Altamaha River basin has increased over the last three decades and is expected to increase in the future.

Water Quality Conditions

The major environmental stressors that impair or threaten water quality in the Altamaha River basin include traditional chemical stressors, such as oxygen demanding substances, metals, and bacterial contamination, as well as less traditional stressors, such as stream channel modifications and alteration of physical habitat.

Significant potential sources of environmental stressors in the basin include point source discharges such as municipal and industrial wastewater and storm sewers; and nonpoint sources that result from diffuse runoff from urban and rural land uses. Based on EPD's 2000-2001 water quality assessment, urban runoff and rural nonpoint sources are now the major sources of failure to support designated uses of water bodies in the Altamaha basin.

Point Sources

Point sources are defined as the permitted discharges of treated wastewater to rivers and tributaries that are regulated under the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES). These permits are issued by EPD for wastewater discharges and storm water discharges.

Municipal discharges. There are currently four permitted major municipal treated wastewater discharges with flows greater than 1 MGD in the Altamaha River basin. There are also 14 minor public discharges. EPD monitors compliance of these permits and takes appropriate enforcement action for violations. As of the 2000-2001 water quality assessment, three stream segments (19 miles) were identified in which municipal discharges contributed to a failure to support designated uses. Total maximum daily loads (TMDLs) and implementation plans were finalized for these segments in 2002.

Industrial discharges. There are a number of industrial wastewater dischargers in the basin including one major and six minor facilities. As of the 2000-2001 water quality assessment, there were no stream segments identified in which industrial discharges contributed to a failure to support designated uses.

Permitted stormwater discharges. Urban stormwater runoff in the Altamaha basin has been identified as a source of water quality impairment. Urban runoff which is collected by storm sewers is now subject to NPDES permitting and control.

Nonpoint Sources

Nonpoint sources of pollution include a variety of pollutants that are carried across the ground with rainwater or snowmelt and are deposited in water bodies. The 2000-2001 water quality assessment results for the Altamaha basin indicate that urban and rural nonpoint sources contribute significantly to failure to support designated uses of water bodies. The major categories of nonpoint source pollution in the basin include the following:

- Urban, industrial, and residential sources, which may contribute stormwater runoff, unauthorized discharges, oxygen-demanding waste, oil and grease, nutrients, metals, bacteria, and sediments.
- Agricultural sources, which may contribute nutrients from animal wastes and fertilizers, sediment, herbicides/pesticides, and bacteria and pathogens.
- Forestry activities, which may contribute sediments and herbicides/pesticides.

Support of Designated Uses

Under Georgia regulations, designated uses and associated water quality standards provide goals for water quality protection. EPD assessed waters in the Altamaha basin and reported the results in the *Georgia 2002 305(b)/303(d) List*. The criteria listed most frequently in the 2002 list as contributing to not supporting or partially supporting status was dissolved oxygen, followed by fecal coliform bacteria and fish consumption issues.

Key Environmental Stressors

The major threats to water quality in the Altamaha River basin are summarized below.

Dissolved oxygen. The 2000-2001 water quality assessments indicated low dissolved oxygen was one of the most commonly listed causes of failure to fully support designated uses. Oxygen consuming substances may be discharged to streams from point and nonpoint sources. In general, nonpoint sources are the most significant sources at this time. Severe drought conditions across Georgia during the 1999-2002 period were a significant contributing factor to the low dissolved oxygen concentrations documented in the Altamaha River and its tributaries.

Fecal coliform bacteria. The 2000-2001 water quality assessments indicated that fecal coliform bacteria was commonly listed as a cause of failure to support designated uses. Fecal coliform bacteria may arise from point and nonpoint sources, such as wastewater treatment plants, agricultural nonpoint sources, leaking septic systems, and stormwater runoff. As point sources have been brought under control in the basin, nonpoint sources have become increasingly important as potential sources of fecal coliform bacteria.

Fish tissue contamination. Fish consumption issues for individual fish species are also a concern in the Altamaha River basin and contributed to the listing of a number of waters as not fully supporting designated uses. The fish consumption issues are associated with mercury primarily from air deposition.

Sediment loading and habitat degradation. A healthy aquatic ecosystem requires a healthy physical habitat. One major cause of disturbance to stream habitats is erosion and sedimentation. As sediment is carried into the stream, it can change the stream bottom, and may smother sensitive organisms. Turbidity associated with sediment loading also may potentially impair recreational and drinking water uses. Sediment loading is of greatest concern in developing areas and major transportation corridors. The rural areas of the basin are of lesser concern with the exception of rural unpaved road systems, areas where cultivated cropland exceeds 20 percent of the total land cover, and areas in which foresters are not following appropriate management practices.

Strategies for Water Supply

At this time, water quantity appears to be adequate for all uses in the Altamaha River basin. There are, however, several water quantity concerns in the Altamaha basin, including drought response planning and strategies for protection of the Floridan aquifer, which are of significance to decision makers.

Strategies for Water Quality

Water quality in the Altamaha River basin is generally good at this time, although problems remain to be addressed and proactive planning is needed to protect water quality into the future. Many actions have already been taken to protect water quality. Programs implemented by federal, state, and local governments, farmers, foresters, and other individuals have greatly helped to protect and improve water quality in the basin over the past 20 years.

The primary source of pollution that continues to affect waters of the Altamaha River basin results from nonpoint sources. These problems result from the cumulative effect of activities of many individual landowners or managers. Population is growing every year, increasing the potential risks from nonpoint source pollution. Growth is essential to the economic health of the Altamaha River basin, yet growth without proper land use planning and implementation of best management practices to protect streams and rivers can create harmful impacts on the environment.

Because there are many small sources of nonpoint loading spread throughout the watershed, nonpoint sources of pollution cannot effectively be controlled by state agency permitting and enforcement, even where regulatory authority exists. Rather, control of nonpoint loading will require the cooperative efforts of many partners, including state and federal agencies, individual landowners, agricultural and forestry interests, local county and municipal governments, and Regional Development Centers. A combination of regulatory and voluntary land management practices will be necessary to maintain and improve the water quality of rivers, streams, and lakes in the Altamaha River basin.

Key Actions by EPD. The Georgia EPD Water Protection Branch has responsibility for establishing water quality standards, monitoring water quality, river basin planning, water quality modeling, permitting and enforcement of point source NPDES permits, and developing Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs) and implementation plans where ongoing actions are not sufficient to achieve water quality standards. Much of this work is regulatory. EPD is also one of several agencies responsible for facilitating, planning, and educating the public about management of nonpoint source pollution. Nonpoint source programs implemented by Georgia and by other states across the nation are voluntary in nature. The Georgia EPD Water Resources Branch regulates the use of Georgia's surface and groundwater resources for municipal and agricultural uses, which includes source water assessment and protection activities in compliance with the Safe Drinking Water Act.

Actions being taken by EPD at the state level to address water quality problems in the Altamaha River basin include the following:

- **Watershed Assessments and Watershed Protection Implementation Plans.** When local governments propose to expand an existing wastewater facility, or propose a new facility, EPD requires a comprehensive watershed assessment and development of a watershed protection implementation plan.
- **Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs).** Where water quality sampling has documented standards violations and ongoing actions are not sufficient to achieve water quality standards, a TMDL will be established for a specific pollutant on the specific stream segment in accordance with EPA guidance. TMDLs were established for 303(d) listed waters in the Altamaha River basin in 2002. Implementation plans were also finalized in 2002. This work represents a significant step in advancing the watershed approach in Georgia. Work was done to develop a TMDL for each individual pollutant not achieving water quality standards. The TMDL was public noticed and comments were considered prior to finalizing the TMDL. In those situations where point sources caused the water quality problem, the results of the TMDL will be implemented through the NPDES permitting program. NPDES permit conditions will be modified to support the implementation of the TMDL. Where nonpoint sources were the cause of the problem, in many cases the EPD contracted with the local Regional Development Center (RDC) to develop an implementation plan to address the problem. Each RDC brought together local stakeholder groups familiar with the individual watersheds to provide input and insight in developing each TMDL implementation plan. In this manner the development of the plans can be locally led and implemented.
- **Source Water Protection.** Most of the public water supply in the Altamaha basin is drawn from groundwater. To provide for the protection of public water supplies, Georgia EPD is developing a Source Water Assessment Program in alignment with the 1996 amendments to the Safe Drinking Water Act and corresponding EPA guidelines.
- **Fish Consumption Guidelines.** EPD and the Wildlife Resources Division work to protect public health by testing fish tissue and issuing fish consumption guidelines as needed, indicating the recommended rates of consumption of fish from specific waters. The guidelines are based on conservative assumptions and provide the public with factual information for use in making rational decisions regarding fish consumption.

Key Actions by Resource Management Agencies. Nonpoint source pollution from agriculture and forestry activities in Georgia is managed and controlled with a statewide non-regulatory approach. This approach is based on cooperative partnerships with various agencies and a variety of programs. Agriculture in the Altamaha River basin is a mixture of livestock and poultry operations and commodity production. Key partners for controlling agricultural nonpoint source pollution are the Soil and Water Conservation Districts, Georgia Soil and Water Conservation Commission, and the USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service. These partners promote the use of environmentally-sound Best Management Practices (BMPs) through education, demonstration projects, and financial assistance.

One program, the Environmental Quality Incentive Program (EQUIP), authorized by the Farm Bill provides incentive payments and cost-sharing for conservation projects through 5- to 10-year contracts. An individual producer can receive as much as \$450,000 (federal cost share up to 50 percent) in EQUIP funds over 10 years for contracts initiated between FY 2002 and FY 2007.

Forestry is a major part of the economy in the Altamaha basin and commercial forestlands represent over 69 percent of the total basin land area. The Georgia Forestry Commission (GFC) is the lead agency for controlling silvicultural nonpoint source pollution. The GFC develops forestry practice guidelines, encourages BMP implementation, conducts education, investigates and mediates complaints involving forestry operations, and conducts BMP compliance surveys.

Key Actions by Local Governments. Addressing water quality problems resulting from nonpoint source pollution will primarily depend on actions taken at the local level. Particularly for nonpoint sources associated with urban and residential development, it is only at the local level that regulatory authority exists for zoning and land use planning, control of erosion and sedimentation from construction activities, and regulation of septic systems.

Local governments are increasingly focusing on water resource issues. In many cases, the existence of high quality water has not been recognized and managed as an economic resource by local governments. That situation is now changing due to a variety of factors, including increased public awareness, high levels of population growth in many areas resulting in a need for comprehensive planning, recognition that high quality water supplies are limited, and new state-level actions and requirements. The latter include:

- Requirements for Watershed Assessments and Watershed Protection Implementation Plans when permits for expanded or new municipal wastewater discharges are requested;
- Development of Source Water Protection Plans to protect public drinking water supplies;
- Requirements for local comprehensive planning, including protection of natural and water resources, as promulgated by the Georgia Department of Community Affairs.
- Development of TMDLs and the development of implementation plans by RDCs and local stakeholder groups.

In sum, it is the responsibility of local governments to implement planning for future development which takes into account management and protection of the water quality of rivers, streams, and lakes within their jurisdiction. One of the most important actions that local governments should take to ensure recognition of local needs while protecting water resources is to participate in the basin planning process, either directly or through Regional Development Centers.

Continuing RBMP in the Altamaha River Basin

This basin plan represents one step in managing the water resources in the Altamaha basin. EPD, its resource management agency partners, local governments, and basin stakeholders will need to work together to implement the plan in the coming months and years. Additionally, the basin planning cycle provides the opportunity to update management priorities and strategies every five years. Agencies and organizations with technical expertise, available resources, and potential implementation responsibilities are encouraged to continue to contribute to the planning and implementation processes. Other stakeholders can stay involved through working with state and local agencies, and participating in locally initiated watershed planning and TMDL implementation activities. An update of the Altamaha River basin plan is planned for 2007.